

MINUTES

BOARD OF PROFESSIONAL SURVEYORS AND MAPPERS

RULES WORKSHOP

January 5, 2005

Pink Shell Beach Resort
375 Estero Blvd.
Ft. Myers Beach, Florida

The workshop was called to order by Mr. Jeff Cooner, Chair, at 1:10 p.m.

Members Present:

Pam Nobles
Jeff Cooner, Chair
Louis Lebron
Sidney Greer
Beverly Sutphin
Lou Bush
Dennis Blankenship
Omar Armenteros

Members Not Present:

Fran Poppell

Others Present:

Marilyn Evers
Henry Echezabal
Steve Gordon
Harley Gilmore
Ronnie Taylor
Lanier Matthews

REVIEW AND APPROVAL OF THE OCTOBER 12, 2004 RULES WORKSHOP MEETING MINUTES

The committee reviewed the October 12, 2004 rules workshop meeting minutes and after review the following motion was made.

MOTION: Ms. Sutphin made a motion to approve the October 12, 2004 rules workshop minutes with one correction.

SECOND: Mr. Cooner seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

REVIEW AND DISCUSSION

Mr. Cooner advised the board that at the last meeting the board approved the proposed changes to the minimum technical standards rule and at this time unless someone has requested a hearing the MTS rule will not be discussed.

Mr. Stabley advised the board that a copy of the MTS rule final language was included in the board agenda materials. Mr. Stabley stated that the rule had been published and if anyone wanted to make comments or suggestions, they could request a hearing within 21 days of the publication.

Mr. Stabley asked the board not to make any changes to the MTS rule unless it was correction of grammar or of minor substance.

Mr. Cooner stated that there were a couple of concerns relevant to some of the definitions. Mr. Cooner stated the areas were ortho-imagery/photo and rasters image. Mr. Cooner stated he felt some changes were necessary. Mr. Cooner stated he drafted some changes to the definitions and that he asked Mr. Bush to review.

Mr. Bush stated he reviewed the proposal and agreed with the changes.

Mr. Stabley read the proposed definitions into the record.

Ortho Image/Photo: A synthetically produced image in which positions of features are properly located in the specific map projection. Generally, this involves correction of a perspective image for relief displacement and scale variation so that the resulting scale is uniform throughout.

Raster Image: A two-dimensional matrix of digital picture elements whose values represent level of energy reflected or emitted by the surface being photographed, scanned, or otherwise sensed.

MOTION: Ms. Sutphin made a motion to approve the definitions.
SECOND Mr. Bush seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Mr. Cooner stated that there were also proposed changes to the Vertical/Horizontal Featuring Accuracy.

After discussion by the board Mr. Stabley suggested that the board not take action on the Vertical/Horizontal sections and advised the board that if someone wants to request a hearing regarding these rules that is the proper way to handle the proposed changes.

61G17-1.010, F.A.C. – Approved Schools and Colleges

Mr. Stabley provided the board with proposed changes to 61G17-1.010, F.A.C. Mr. Stabley reviewed the proposal with the board and after discussion the following motion was made.

MOTION: Ms. Nobles made a motion to approve the proposed language.
SECOND: Mr. Blankenship seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

61G17-2.006 – Time for Compliance with Final Order; Probation

Mr. Stabley provided the board with proposed changes to 61G17-2.006, F.A.C. Mr. Stabley reviewed the proposal with the board. After discussion it was the consensus of the board that the language should read “that the stay shall be lifted by the full board.”

Mr. Stabley advised the board that if the stay of suspension is lifted due to non-compliance to a final order, another compliant is initiated by the board office staff. Mr. Stabley stated that the board does have the authority to suspend the license if the licensee is not in compliance with the final order.

MOTION: Mr. Bush made a motion to approve the proposed language as amended.
SECOND: Mr. Greer seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

61G17-5.0031 – Continuing Education Credit for Biennial Renewal

No action was necessary.

61G17-9.006 and 0065 – Probation and Surrender of Seal and Cancellation of Digital Signature

Mr. Stabley provided the board with proposed changes to 61G17-9.006 and 0065. Mr. Stabley reviewed the proposed changes with the board. Mr. Stabley advised the board that a letter had been drafted to address the request for surrendering of seal and cancellation of digital signatures.

Ms. Patterson advised the board that the draft letter was included in their board member folders. The board asked where the surrendered seals would be kept once surrendered. Ms. Patterson stated she would maintain a filing system for the seals in the board office.

The board reviewed the letter and stated it was acceptable and after discussion the following motion was made.

MOTION: Ms. Sutphin made a motion to approve the proposed language with amendments.
SECOND: Mr. Greer seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

61G17-9.007 – Survey Review

Mr. Stabley provided the board with written information regarding different methods to have consultant review of probationer surveys. Mr. Stabley reviewed the memo with the board.

Mr. Cooner stated that he felt that the board would need to consider a monitor and has felt that way for a while.

Mr. Stabley provided the board with proposed draft language and the board reviewed. Mr. Stabley advised the board that he has submitted the draft language to Joint Administrative Procedures Committee and Ms. Printy did not have any questions regarding the language.

The board discussed the possibility of the board creating an expert committee to approve expert/consultant/monitor. The expert/consultant/monitor would review the probationer's surveys to deem if the surveys are in compliance to MTS standards. Mr. Bush asked the question " what if the board does not accept the expert review". Mr. Blankenship stated that if that was the case the committee/board would be wasting their time and should just handle the entire process. The board agreed.

Mr. Knap stated that the expert/consultant/monitor would not be making the decisions; the expert would be providing the committee/board with a written report of the review. Mr. Knap stated it would be a board decision if the surveys were in compliance.

Mr. Stabley advised the board that experts are hired by the department to review probable cause panel cases and the panel reviews and considers the expert report during their review process of the probable cause case.

Mr. Stabley asked the board if they wanted to continue to review the probationer's surveys. It was the consensus of the board that they did not want to continue to review probationer surveys and that they could trust a monitor to review probation surveys.

The board discussed the probationer providing a list of surveys that were performed within a 6 months period and the board would choose 6 surveys from the list. Ms. Patterson reminded the board that the majority of final orders require the licensee to complete the continuing education courses prior to submitting the surveys.

Ms. Sutphin stated that she wanted to be sure it was clear to the probation surveyor what is required.

Ms. Nobles stated that there must be some type of information exchanges between the monitor and probation surveyor or the whole idea of monitor/mentor will not be beneficial to the probationer.

Mr. Armenteros stated that in past discussion by the board, the board was in favor of the monitor providing the report to the board to consider.

The board thanked Mr. Stabley for providing the information regarding expert/consultant/monitor. After discussion it was the consensus of the board to continue to draft language but would not take any action at this time. The board was in favor of the expert/consultant/monitor language.

Ms. Nobles stated she would meet with Mr. Stabley and assist with draft language for the board to consider at the next meeting.

MOTION: Mr. Cooner made a motion to move forward with draft language to implement language to include a monitor and to move forward with current proposed changes.

SECOND: Mr. Greer seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

Mr. Stabley advised the board that he would prepare draft language with the language of a monitor for the board to consider at the next meeting.

61G17-5.0031- Continuing Education Credits for Biennial Renewal

Mr. Stabley provided the board with proposed language to 61G17-5.0031(2). The board reviewed the proposed language and after discussion the following motion was made.

MOTION: Mr. Blankenship made a motion to approve the proposed language.

SECOND: Mr. Greer seconded the motion and the motion passed.

Ms. Sutphin opposed the motion.

61G17-5.0043- Obligations of Continuing Education Providers and

61G17-5.0051- Approval of Courses

Mr. Stabley provided the board with proposed language. Mr. Stabley reviewed the proposals with the board. Ms. Sutphin suggested that the providers attend and complete a MTS workshop in order to offer a MTS course for continuing education.

After discussion Mr. Stabley stated he would draft language for the board to consider at the next meeting.

MOTION: Ms. Sutphin made a motion to approve proposed language as presented.

SECOND: Mr. Cooner seconded the motion and it passed unanimously.

NEW/OLD BUSINESS

There being no other business the meeting adjourned at 3:00 p.m.